



Harnessing the Power of AI for Transforming Research

Ms. Swathi Jain

Dr. M. V. Shetty Institute of Professional Sciences and Management

Vidyanagar, Mangalore, Karnataka, India

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received on: 20/04/2025

Accepted on: 01/05/2025

Available Online: 28/07/2025

Key words:

Artificial Intelligence (AI), Scientific Research Transformation, Machine Learning Applications, Ethical AI in Research

ABSTRACT

Purpose: The present study explores how Artificial Intelligence (AI) is reshaping scientific research by accelerating discovery, improving data analysis, and further transforming research methodologies. It aims to identify the roles AI plays in research, highlight methodological changes, and examine associated ethical concerns.

Design/Methodology/Approach: The research utilises secondary data from academic journals, editorial reviews, and case studies across multiple disciplines. The current paper opted content analysis to identify common patterns, benefits, and limitations in AI integration.

Findings: The research efficacy today based on AI which uses automation of routine tasks such as hypothesis testing, literature reviews, and simulation modelling. It also supports the peer review process. Machine learning enables predictive modelling, particularly in biomedical and environmental sciences, while large language models assist in summarization and question-answering within academic databases. Although we see, challenges persist, including algorithmic bias, data privacy risks, and limited interpretability of AI systems.

Research Limitations/Implications: The present study is literature-based, it lacks primary empirical data. Future research could focus on specific AI applications in real-world research settings and assess long-term impacts.

Practical Implications: Research institutions are encouraged to promote AI literacy, invest in transparent and explainable AI systems, and implement ethical frameworks to guide responsible AI usage in research.

Originality/Value: This study offers a cross-disciplinary synthesis of AI's impact on research, highlighting both its transformative potential and the importance of ethical integration for sustainable innovation.

INTRODUCTION

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is fundamentally reshaping the scientific research landscape, offering tools that simulate human intelligence and drastically enhance our ability to process, analyse, and generate knowledge. At its core, AI leverages machine learning algorithms, natural language processing (NLP), neural networks, and knowledge graphs to automate and optimize complex cognitive tasks traditionally handled by researchers.

*Corresponding Author

Ms. Swathi Jain, Dr. M. V. Shetty
Institute of Professional Sciences and
Management Vidyanagar, Mangalore,
Karnataka, India
Email: swathipjain2015@gmail.com

These systems can now summarize massive bodies of scientific literature, identify research gaps, generate hypotheses, and even predict future scientific discoveries ([Sourati & Evans, 2023](#)).

The relevance of AI in research is accelerating as the volume, complexity, and interdisciplinarity of data exceed the limits of human processing. AI-driven tools are not only enhancing productivity but also enabling entirely new forms of inquiry. For example, virtual laboratories supported by AI allow for high-throughput simulations and analyses across disciplines, democratizing access to computational science and enabling scalable experimentation ([Klami et al., 2024](#)). In the life sciences, AI models are increasingly used for genomic annotation, drug screening, and personalized medicine ([Guo et al., 2023](#)). In chemistry and materials science, AI has shortened discovery timelines for new molecules and compounds by analysing large experimental datasets with unprecedented speed and accuracy ([Zhu et al., 2020](#)), ([Back et al., 2023](#)).

Yet, despite its immense benefits, the rapid and pervasive adoption of AI also raises important ethical, epistemological, and methodological concerns. Researchers have warned against the overreliance on AI in manuscript writing, peer review, and data interpretation, citing risks to scientific integrity, algorithmic bias, and reproducibility challenges ([Izquierdo-Condoy et al., 2024](#)). Furthermore, many AI systems operate as “black boxes,” with limited explainability and transparency, making it difficult for researchers and policymakers to fully understand or trust the outcomes generated by these models ([Meijer et al., 2024](#)).

Against this backdrop, this study seeks to investigate the following:

Explore the diverse roles of AI in modern research, including hypothesis generation, automated data analysis, literature synthesis, and intelligent simulation.

Identify key methodological shifts prompted by AI integration—such as the move toward data-driven research, virtual experimentation, and real-time peer review systems.

Examine the challenges and ethical implications associated with AI use, particularly issues of bias, interpretability, privacy, and scientific accountability

This research is based on secondary data sources, synthesizing academic findings from diverse disciplines including biomedical sciences, environmental science, computational chemistry, and knowledge management. Through thematic content analysis, the paper distils insights into how AI is reshaping the norms and practices of research.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Historical Context

The integration of computational tools into scientific research began with early numerical models and simulations in physics and engineering during the mid-20th century. These foundational tools enabled researchers to solve complex equations and conduct virtual experiments that would be otherwise impossible due to physical or cost constraints.

Over time, these tools evolved into more advanced forms of **Artificial Intelligence (AI)**. The late 20th century witnessed the emergence of expert systems and symbolic AI, which relied on rule-based logic to

mimic human decision-making. By the 2010s, the focus shifted to **machine learning (ML)**—an approach that allows systems to learn patterns from data rather than relying on explicit programming. Innovations such as **deep learning**, **natural language processing (NLP)**, and **large language models (LLMs)** have since enabled machines to perform increasingly complex cognitive tasks like language generation, hypothesis formulation, and data synthesis (Zhu et al., 2020), (Meijer et al., 2024).

CURRENT APPLICATIONS

AI's influence now spans nearly every scientific domain:

Bioinformatics and Genomics: AI models are transforming disease modelling, biomarker discovery, and genome annotation by processing vast, high-dimensional datasets with precision (Guo et al., 2023).

Climate and Environmental Science: Machine learning models are used to simulate climate scenarios, track deforestation, and monitor biodiversity, significantly enhancing the granularity and speed of environmental assessments (Klami et al., 2024).

Social Sciences: NLP techniques analyse large-scale text data from social media, historical archives, and interviews, uncovering trends in public sentiment, ideology, and policy discourse (Sorokina, 2023).

Chemistry and Materials Science: AI has accelerated the discovery of new molecules and materials through data mining and predictive synthesis, dramatically reducing time-to-market for scientific innovations (Back et al., 2023).

AI is also transforming **core research processes**:

Hypothesis Generation: AI can mine literature and datasets to propose novel, testable hypotheses, mimicking or even surpassing human intuition (Sourati & Evans, 2023).

Literature Review Automation: LLMs like GPT summarize vast scientific corpora, improving researcher efficiency.

Peer Review: AI tools now assist editors by suggesting reviewers, flagging inconsistencies, and even assessing novelty and significance in submissions (Izquierdo-Condoy et al., 2024).

EXISTING DEBATES

The literature reflects both excitement and caution surrounding AI's growing influence in research:

Optimism: Advocates emphasize AI's ability to democratize science, reduce time-to-discovery, and unlock new interdisciplinary approaches. Platforms like AI-powered **virtual laboratories** enable reproducibility, collaboration, and rapid experimentation at scale (Klami et al., 2024).

Caution: Skeptics raise concerns about **algorithmic bias**, **data privacy**, and **scientific integrity**. Overreliance on AI tools in manuscript writing and peer review could compromise quality and erode critical thinking skills (Izquierdo-Condoy et al., 2024).

Empirical Evidence: Recent studies show that when human expertise is integrated into AI models—especially in predictive discovery—the accuracy and creativity of outcomes are significantly improved. Such “human-aware AI” can generate novel

insights beyond what traditional approaches allow ([Sourati & Evans, 2023](#)).

In summary, the literature reveals that AI is not just enhancing research productivity—it is redefining what it means to do science. However, as AI systems grow in sophistication, their responsible use will depend on ethical safeguards, interdisciplinary collaboration, and a sustained commitment to transparency.

METHODOLOGY

This study employs a qualitative thematic content analysis approach to examine how Artificial Intelligence (AI) is transforming scientific research across disciplines. This methodology is well-suited for synthesizing knowledge from diverse sources and identifying patterns and themes that emerge from textual data.

Research Design and Rationale: The choice of thematic content analysis stems from the exploratory nature of the study. Given that AI's application in research is a rapidly evolving and interdisciplinary topic, qualitative synthesis allows for a nuanced interpretation of both technical advancements and broader epistemological and ethical concerns. This design enables the categorization of emerging trends, benefits, and limitations from a cross-sectoral lens.

Data Sources: The study is based on **secondary data**, specifically drawn from:

Peer-reviewed journal articles on AI applications in fields such as bioinformatics, chemistry, climate science, and social sciences.

Editorial reviews offering critical perspectives on the ethical and methodological challenges of AI integration.

Disciplinary case studies highlighting real-world implementations of AI tools and systems in research environments.

Sources were identified using **academic databases** such as Scopus, Web of Science, PubMed, and the AI-powered search engine [Consensus](#). Inclusion criteria required that sources be:

Published within the last 5 years (2019–2024).

Directly related to AI tools in research or science methodology.

Provide qualitative or empirical insight into AI's impact on research practices.

Examples of cited sources include discussions on AI-augmented literature review systems ([Izquierdo-Condoy et al., 2024](#)), virtual laboratories for cross-domain scientific simulation ([Klami et al., 2024](#)), and bias-aware machine learning models ([Sourati & Evans, 2023](#)).

Analytical Procedure: The analysis followed a three-step coding and synthesis process:

Initial Coding: Articles were read and segmented into thematic codes using NVivo software. Segments were labelled with codes such as *efficiency gains*, *methodological shifts*, *automation of tasks*, *ethical concerns*, *data transparency*, and *AI-human collaboration*.

Theme Development: Related codes were grouped into overarching themes that aligned with the study objectives. Themes such as “transformative efficiency,” “methodological disruption,” and “ethics of automation” were derived iteratively from the data.

Cross-Disciplinary Synthesis: Findings from multiple disciplines were compared to identify converging and diverging trends in AI usage. This allowed for recognition of both domain-specific and universal challenges in AI integration.

LIMITATIONS

As with any qualitative study relying on secondary data, there are inherent limitations:

The findings depend on the accuracy and objectivity of the reviewed sources.

Rapid advances in AI may outpace academic publishing cycles, potentially excluding very recent innovations.

Language and publication bias may affect thematic representation.

Despite these limitations, the approach ensures a robust, evidence-informed understanding of how AI is reshaping research environments.

KEY FINDINGS AND THEMATIC ANALYSIS

Theme 1: AI-Driven Efficiency and Innovation

AI technologies are significantly enhancing research productivity and enabling new forms of discovery:

Literature Review Summarization & Auto-Extraction of Key Terms: AI systems, especially large language models (LLMs), now support researchers by rapidly scanning, synthesizing, and summarizing thousands of articles. These tools automatically extract key terms, map conceptual frameworks, and reduce the time required for systematic reviews (Izquierdo-Condoy et al., 2024).

Hypothesis Generation and Experimental Design: AI systems trained on scientific databases and literature can suggest novel hypotheses, refine experimental variables, and optimize study designs. In genomics and drug discovery, AI helps prioritize targets and predict molecular interactions that would take months to uncover manually (Guo et al., 2023), (Meijer et al., 2024).

Predictive Modelling in Science: From environmental forecasting to biomedical diagnostics, machine learning models now simulate future outcomes with greater accuracy and speed than traditional approaches. These models help anticipate disease spread, evaluate policy interventions, and accelerate materials research (Back et al., 2023), (Klami et al., 2024).

Theme 2: Methodological Shifts in Research

AI is not just optimizing tasks but reshaping core scientific methods and workflows:

Transition from Manual to Automated Processes: Across disciplines, AI has automated tasks like data annotation, statistical analysis, literature mining, and simulation. In chemistry and materials science, this automation supports high-throughput experimentation and analysis (Zhu et al., 2020).

Role of LLMs in Academic Search and Content Generation: LLMs are increasingly used in the early stages of research design, helping scholars generate abstracts, identify research questions, and retrieve relevant sources. These models function as intelligent research assistants, especially for early-career researchers (Meijer et al., 2024).

Impact on Peer Review and Publication

Processes: AI is transforming academic publishing by aiding editors in plagiarism detection, reviewer matching, and automated manuscript evaluation. Some platforms use AI to flag methodological weaknesses or novelty issues before peer review (Izquierdo-Condoy et al., 2024).

Theme 3: Ethical and Operational Challenges

The benefits of AI are counterbalanced by a set of critical concerns that must be addressed:

Bias in Algorithms: AI systems often mirror the biases present in their training data, which can exacerbate disparities in research outcomes. This is particularly problematic in fields like health sciences, where AI tools may underperform for underrepresented populations (Sourati & Evans, 2023).

Data Privacy and Regulation: As AI systems increasingly rely on personal or proprietary data, issues of informed consent, data governance, and compliance with regulations like GDPR become paramount—especially in genomic and behavioral studies (Guo et al., 2023).

Black-Box Models and Interpretability: Many high-performing AI models, particularly deep learning systems, are inherently opaque. Their inability to explain outputs limits trust and acceptance in disciplines requiring transparency, such as medicine or public policy (Meijer et al., 2024).

Over-Reliance and Diminishing Critical Inquiry: A growing body of research warns that researchers may over-depend on AI-generated outputs without sufficiently questioning or verifying them, leading to a

decline in rigorous scientific reasoning (Izquierdo-Condoy et al., 2024).

In summary, AI is both amplifying the capacity of researchers and challenging the norms of traditional scientific practice. Its integration into research must be managed with ethical foresight, interdisciplinary collaboration, and a commitment to transparency.

CASE STUDIES

To illustrate the diverse and practical applications of AI in transforming modern research, this section presents three short case studies from different scientific domains.

Case Study 1: Biomedical Research Using ML Models

Machine learning (ML) has become a critical driver in biomedical innovation. A prominent example is the integration of **case-based reasoning (CBR)** systems into clinical and research settings. These systems use past patient data to recommend diagnoses or treatments by identifying similar historical cases. In healthcare, CBR frameworks have been used in conjunction with ontologies and data mining to improve diagnostic accuracy, medical image interpretation, and therapeutic decision-making (Bichindaritz, 2008).

Another impactful application is in **biomedical genomics**, where ML models are employed to predict disease-associated gene variants and assist in genomic annotation. These systems enhance the pace and precision of discoveries by learning from large, heterogeneous datasets (Guo et al., 2023).

Case Study 2: Environmental Science Employing AI for Simulation

AI-driven simulation systems are increasingly used in environmental decision-making. A compelling case comes from the **Barcelona water management system**, where a **temporal case-based reasoning (TCBR)** approach was employed to model dynamic environmental processes. This AI framework considered dependencies between time-linked events, improving the system's ability to manage and predict environmental conditions like water flow and contamination events (Pascual-Pañach et al., 2024).

Additionally, a project by Tupayachi et al. demonstrated how **LLMs (e.g., ChatGPT)** were used to generate **scientific ontologies** for urban decision support systems. This method enabled the automation of scenario modeling in urban freight logistics, improving policy planning and resource allocation (Tupayachi et al., 2024).

Case Study 3: Social Science Using NLP for Large-Scale Text Analysis

In the social sciences, **natural language processing (NLP)** techniques are enabling large-scale analysis of qualitative data. A bibliometric study by Yılmaz explored how NLP and ML were used to examine interdisciplinary research trends aligned with the **Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)**. By analysing hundreds of publications, the study identified emerging themes in environmental education, health, and social equity—fields where AI-powered text analysis can guide both academic and policy innovation (Yılmaz, 2024).

Another example involves the use of **ChatGPT** for multilingual translation and interpretation of large-scale interview data, demonstrating the growing utility of transformer-based NLP models in

qualitative research workflows (Dalayli, 2023).

These case studies underscore the transformative and versatile role of AI in various research environments each showcasing how AI can optimize workflows, enhance decision-making, and uncover novel insights across fields.

DISCUSSION

Synthesis of Findings: Balancing Benefits and Risks

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in research reveals a dynamic equilibrium between powerful advancements and emerging vulnerabilities. On the benefits side, AI dramatically accelerates data processing, hypothesis generation, literature synthesis, and predictive modelling—key enablers of faster and more robust scientific discovery (Guo et al., 2023), (Back et al., 2023).

However, risks are equally prominent. Dependence on opaque “black box” algorithms may hinder reproducibility and erode trust in research findings (Izquierdo-Condoy et al., 2024). Furthermore, algorithmic bias and ethical blind spots in training data pose systemic threats to research integrity and equity. As shown in recent studies in finance and education, AI tools can reinforce existing disparities or be misused in the absence of transparent oversight (Petronijević et al., 2024), (Dekker et al., 2024).

Implications for Researchers, Institutions, and Policy-Makers

For Researchers: AI demands a shift in methodological literacy. Scientists must move beyond viewing AI as a “black box” tool and instead engage critically with model assumptions, training data sources,

and output interpretations. Maintaining a balance between automation and human judgment is essential to preserve scientific rigor.

For Institutions: Universities and research centres must invest in AI infrastructure while updating training and ethical protocols. They should foster environments where interdisciplinary collaboration is standard, and where scholars from humanities, computer science, and the applied sciences jointly develop and monitor AI applications ([Cha et al., 2024](#)).

For Policy-Makers: There is a clear need for proactive regulation that balances innovation with ethical accountability. This includes setting standards for AI transparency, enforcing data privacy norms, and supporting international institutions that coordinate safe AI development across borders ([Ho et al., 2023](#)).

Importance of AI Literacy and Cross-Disciplinary Collaboration

A recurring insight across studies is that **AI literacy** is no longer optional—it is foundational. Both technical experts and domain scientists must be trained to understand not only how AI works, but also how to evaluate its appropriateness in context-specific research scenarios. AI literacy includes recognizing algorithmic limitations, anticipating ethical issues, and being able to articulate what AI can—and cannot—contribute ([Adegboye, 2024](#)).

Moreover, **cross-disciplinary collaboration** is a critical enabler of responsible AI use. Fields such as healthcare, climate science, and social science increasingly depend on partnerships between AI developers and

subject-matter experts to ensure context-aware implementation. Collaborative platforms, shared data infrastructures, and open science policies are necessary to facilitate these partnerships at scale ([Dekker et al., 2024](#)).

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the findings and ethical concerns discussed, the following recommendations are proposed to guide the responsible integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in scientific research:

Develop AI-Literacy Training Across Disciplines

AI literacy must become a foundational competency for all researchers, not just computer scientists. Training should encompass:

- Understanding AI's capabilities and limitations.
- Critical evaluation of model outputs and assumptions.
- Awareness of ethical, social, and legal implications.

This cross-disciplinary literacy is essential to empower researchers to use AI effectively while maintaining scientific rigor. Several studies highlight that both faculty and students need structured educational initiatives that promote ethical awareness and technical fluency ([Funa & Gabay, 2025](#)), ([Resnik & Hosseini, 2024](#)).

Prioritize Transparency in AI Development (Explainable AI)

To build trust in AI-assisted research, systems must be **transparent and interpretable**. Developers and institutions should:

- Invest in **Explainable AI (XAI)** technologies that make AI decision processes understandable to non-experts.
- Mandate documentation of model training data, assumptions, and performance benchmarks.
- Disclose synthetic data generation and use clearly, especially in biomedical and social sciences ([Resnik & Hosseini, 2024](#)), ([Lin, 2024](#)).

Transparency also includes demystifying AI-generated content in research outputs and preventing "black box" reliance that compromises reproducibility and accountability.

Establish Clear Ethical Guidelines and Institutional Oversight

There is a critical need for research institutions to adopt and enforce **context-sensitive ethical frameworks** for AI use. These should include:

- Principles of fairness, accountability, and respect for privacy.
- Requirements for community engagement and stakeholder consultation in sensitive domains like healthcare and social science ([Bélisle-Pipon & Victor, 2024](#)).
- Ongoing ethical audits and **Continuous Ethical Analysis** to adapt to evolving tools and risks ([Steinke et al., 2022](#)).

Clear oversight mechanisms must be in place to ensure that developers and researchers are held accountable for the

impact of AI systems on scientific outcomes and social equity.

These recommendations offer a roadmap toward integrating AI in research responsibly empowering discovery, ensuring equity, and safeguarding scientific values.

CONCLUSION

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is undeniably a transformative force in contemporary scientific research. It enhances efficiency through automation, enriches discovery through predictive modelling, and democratizes access to knowledge through tools such as natural language processing and large language models. From biomedical diagnostics to environmental forecasting and social analysis, AI is rapidly becoming an integral part of how research is conceptualized, conducted, and communicated ([Guo et al., 2023](#)), ([Tupayachi et al., 2024](#)).

However, this transformation comes with significant challenges. Algorithmic bias, lack of explainability, data privacy concerns, and the risk of diminishing critical inquiry highlight the urgent need for ethical oversight and human-centered AI integration ([Resnik & Hosseini, 2024](#)), ([Pawar & Khose, 2024](#)). Without clear standards and collaborative oversight, AI risks reinforcing the very inequities it seeks to address.

The evidence underscores a central message: **AI's future in research is not just a technological question but a social and ethical one.** To ensure that AI advances benefit all, stakeholders must promote cross-disciplinary literacy, develop transparent and explainable systems, and institutionalize responsible innovation frameworks.

Ultimately, if guided with caution, inclusivity, and ethical foresight, AI can catalyse a new era of scientific inquiry—one that is not only faster and smarter, but also more equitable, transparent, and globally inclusive (Cuéllar et al., 2024), (Bura & Myakala, 2024).

REFERENCES

1. Back, S., Aspuru-Guzik, A., & Srivastava, M. (2023). *Accelerated chemical science with AI*. https://consensus.app/papers/accelerated-chemical-science-with-ai-back-aspuru-guzik/7b8e24a2789c5ed4b1da0c0fc824c910/?utm_source=chatgpt
2. Bichindaritz, I. (2008). *Case-based reasoning in the health sciences: Why it matters*. https://consensus.app/papers/casebased-reasoning-in-the-health-sciences-why-it-mattersbichindaritz/4f963ba771fc5473a095148b6e9179dc/?utm_source=chatgpt
3. Bura, C., & Myakala, P. K. (2024). *Advancing transformative education: Generative AI as a catalyst for equity and innovation*. https://consensus.app/papers/advancing-transformative-education-generative-ai-as-a-bura-myakala/7d52ced077d258d1a870c47944d05304/?utm_source=chatgpt
4. Cuéllar, M. F., Dean, J., Doshi-Velez, F., Hennessy, J. L., Konwinski, A., Koyejo, O., Moilola, P., Pierson, E., & Patterson, D. A. (2024). *Shaping AI's impact on billions of lives*. https://consensus.app/papers/shaping-ais-impact-on-billions-of-lives-cuellar-dean/4a56457318825b5ca24c569a62e0cfe9/?utm_source=chatgpt
5. Guo, H., Wu, Y., Zhang, Y., & Li, X. (2023). *Artificial intelligence-driven biomedical genomics*. https://consensus.app/papers/artificial-intelligence-driven-biomedical-genomics-guo-wu/c733e9f08fb1539cac50f89cb2338898/?utm_source=chatgpt
6. Izquierdo-Condoy, M. J., Vásconez González, C. E., & Medina-Camacho, J. M. (2024). *"AI et al.": The perils of overreliance on artificial intelligence in research*. https://consensus.app/papers/-ai-et-al-the-perils-of-overreliance-on-artificial-izquierdo-condoy-vasconez-gonzalez/5dcadd88aafc5be2bc8594e8e2b99395/?utm_source=chatgpt
7. Klami, A., Damoulas, T., & Jones, M. (2024). *Virtual laboratories: Transforming research with AI across disciplines*. https://consensus.app/papers/virtual-laboratories-transforming-research-with-ai-klami-damoulas/f86a746f509756ba80e0314fa92a10b0/?utm_source=chatgpt
8. Meijer, L., Benididir, M. A., & Genta-Jouve, G. (2024). *Empowering natural product science with AI*. https://consensus.app/papers/empowering-natural-product-science-with-ai-leveraging-meijer-benididir/85730e9c5e6551769d40aebaa607bc5c/?utm_source=chatgpt
9. Pascual-Pañach, J., Sánchez-Marrè, M., & Gibert, K. (2024). *A temporal case-based reasoning approach for performance simulation in environmental systems*. https://consensus.app/papers/a-temporal-casebased-reasoning-approach-for-performance-pascual-panach-sanchez-marrè/45138774ad21595ebc91ded35b7fb110/?utm_source=chatgpt
10. Pawar, G., & Khose, J. (2024). *Exploring the role of artificial intelligence in enhancing equity and inclusion in education*. https://consensus.app/papers/exploring-the-role-of-artificial-intelligence-in-pawar-khose/fdc148edae7c5776bac047dabfb82d48/?utm_source=chatgpt
11. Resnik, D. B., & Hosseini, M. (2024). *The ethics of using artificial intelligence in scientific research*. https://consensus.app/papers/the-ethics-of-using-artificial-intelligence-in-scientific-resnikhosseini/e45d33a6dca652f6abc97e99d2c5d7d6/?utm_source=chatgpt
12. Sourati, J., & Evans, J. A. (2023). *Accelerating science with human-aware artificial intelligence*.

https://consensus.app/papers/accelerating-science-with-humanaware-artificial-souratievans/7c9f7047629558c08d43096bd93f7fc4/?utm_source=chatgpt

13. Tupayachi, J. C., Xu, H., & Gómez, C. (2024). *Towards next-generation urban decision support systems: Scientific scenario building with LLMs*.
https://consensus.app/papers/towards-nextgeneration-urban-decision-support-systems-tupayachi-xu/9ec69aa1d2ff55c5940f7cdfbfb66cbb/?utm_source=chatgpt
14. Zhu, M., Wu, C., & Wang, J. (2020). *Artificial intelligence for contemporary chemistry*.
https://consensus.app/papers/artificial-intelligence-for-contemporary-chemistry-zhuwu/bc84391746b55ff1ba362424e153c677/?utm_source=chatgpt